

**A regular meeting of the Village of Victor Planning Board was held on Wednesday, January 24, 2018, at the Village Hall, 60 East Main Street.**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Chairperson Meg CHaides  
Vice Chairperson Peter Kowal  
Member Steven Van Dyke  
Member Charles Criss  
Planning Clerk Roseanne Turner-Adams

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** Member Jeffrey Swan

**OTHERS PRESENT:** Robert Kelly, Selma Hammer, Jeff Dunlop, Dan Walters, Jennifer Demo

Meeting called to order at 7:00 PM by Chairperson CHaides.

Salute to the flag.

\*\*\*\*

**Resolution #01-18PB**  
**Acceptance of Minutes**

On a motion made by Peter Kowal, seconded by Steven Van Dyke, the following resolution was ADOPTED 4 AYES 0 NAYS

**Resolved,** to accept the minutes dated October 25, 2017.

\*\*\*\*

**61 School Street/JD Financial**  
**Sign Program**

Ms. Demo explained that she is requesting four signs: an A-frame to put out during the day, a sign on the front of the building that would mirror the neighbor's sign, to repurpose a sign that was used at 10 E. Main St. (Rt. 96) facing Adams Street and clings in the front windows and door. Ms. Turner stated that Ms. Demo had not listed the repurposed sign on her application but that she could use it over her door if she chooses rather than having a new sign made. Ms. Demo stated that she would like to do that at this time since she doesn't have a new sign made yet.

Ms. CHaides explained that the code states that only one A-frame sign is allowed per property and that there is already one there. Ms. CHaides stated that it is her understanding that the property owner plans to work with the Zoning Board on the A-frame sign issue. Ms. CHaides explained that the building used to house one tenant and now has multiple suites so 6 or 7 A-frame signs at that location would be an issue and therefore the A-frame sign on Ms. Demo's application will not be addressed tonight. Mr. Kowal asked who has an A-frame at the location now. Ms. Demo stated that Healing Hands and Stumbling Monkey each have one as well as Sugar Mills Salon. Ms. CHaides

stated that the location of the Sugar Mills A-frame is not the same property. Ms. Demo stated that the sign is right at the corner of the property near the railroad tracks. Ms. CHaides stated that the A-frame sign issue is something that needs to be addressed by the Village in a fair and equitable way. Mr. Criss stated that the problem with the A-frames is a visibility issue and the signs shown in the color rendering are in the right of way and can't be there. Ms. CHaides stated that the property owner will plead her case to the Zoning Board that each tenant in her building needs an A-frame.

Ms. CHaides stated that the JD Financial sign that is currently at 10 E. Main will be moved above the door at 61 School Street. Ms. Demo stated that the old sign is smaller than what she proposed. Mr. Van Dyke stated that the sign at 10 E. Main was already approved. Ms. CHaides stated that according to the Code Enforcement Officer the window clings are over the allotted percentage allowed by code. Ms. Demo stated that she will order new window clings.

Ms. CHaides stated that there are three different signs and the sign program will not need a Certificate of Appropriateness because the colors were previously approved.

\*\*\*\*

**Resolution #02-18PB**  
**61 School Street/JD Financial**  
**Sign Program**

On a motion made by Steven Van Dyke, seconded by Charles Criss, the following resolution was ADOPTED 4 AYES 0 NAYS

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board made the following findings of fact:

1. A sign application was received on November 16, 2017, by the Secretary of the Planning Board for a sign program which consists of repurposing the old 7' x 3' sign from 10 East Main Street (Exhibit A) above the front door, a door cling that is 20% of the door area and window clings that must be less than 30% of the window area at 61 School Street.
2. It is the intent of the applicant to advertise JD Financial.
3. The signs shall be as illustrated in revised plans received January 24, 2018.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the application of Jennifer Demo for a sign program at 61 School Street, **BE APPROVED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:**

1. That the signs require a permit from the Building Department, prior to installation.

2. That the window clings be brought into compliance with the code.

\*\*\*\*

### **33 West Main Street/Selma Hammer Designs Sign Program**

Ms. Hammer stated that she is requesting a 3' x 3' sign on the back of the building at 33 West Main Street. Ms. Hammer explained that she has a 1' x 5' temporary sign on the railing. Ms. CHaides asked Ms. Hammer if she would like to keep the 1' x 5' sign on the railing. Ms. Hammer said that she would like to keep it if possible. Ms. CHaides stated that since Ms. Hammer is on Main Street and is on the back of the building that she would be allowed two wall signs. Ms. Hammer stated that she cannot have a sign in the front so she would like an A-frame so people do not get confused as to her location.

Mr. Kowal asked Ms. CHaides if the sign on the railing would be considered a wall sign. Ms. CHaides said "yes". Ms. CHaides asked if the sign on the railing is a banner and if she is going to make something more permanent. Ms. CHaides added that she likes the sign on the railing and that it is more visual for the traffic but is worried about it deteriorating over time. Ms. Hammer stated that the banner should hold up according to the sign maker. Ms. CHaides stated that she does not have a problem with Ms. Hammer using the temporary sign on the railing as a permanent sign. The rest of the board unanimously agreed.

Ms. CHaides stated that the A-frame sign would be an issue if others are in the same location. Ms. Hammer stated that she did see the Chamber put out a temporary sign for an event one time but that there aren't any other A-frames in that location because the other two businesses there have permanent signs in the front.

Ms. CHaides stated that Ms. Hammer noted the color Rialto from the Devoe Historical Color Palette on her application.

Mr. Van Dyke asked Ms. Hammer about her business. Ms. Hammer stated that she is an Interior Designer.

Mr. Criss asked how much space would be between the existing signs in the front and her A-frame sign. Ms. Hammer stated that spacial allotment is her specialty and she would place her A-frame in the grass away from the other two signs where it would be visible but not impede traffic. Ms. Hammer explained that it would be set back from the other two signs.

\*\*\*\*

### **Resolution #03-18PB 33 West Main Street/Selma Hammer Designs Sign Program**

On a motion made by Peter Kowal, seconded by Charles Criss, the following resolution was ADOPTED 4 AYES 0 NAYS

**WHEREAS**, the Planning Board made the following findings of fact:

1. A sign application was received on November 21, 2017, by the Secretary of the Planning Board for a sign program which consists of a 24" x 36" A-frame sign in the grass near the entrance, a 3' x 3' sign on the back wall between the windows and a 1' x 5' sign on the back railing at 33 West Main Street.
2. It is the intent of the applicant to advertise Selma Hammer Designs.
3. The signs shall be as illustrated in plans received November 21, 2017.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the application of Selma Hammer for a sign program at 33 West Main Street, **BE APPROVED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:**

1. That the signs require a permit from the Building Department, prior to installation.
2. That the sign receive a Certificate of Appropriateness from the Architectural Preservation Review Board (APRB) prior to installation.
3. That the A-frame sign be brought inside at night.

\*\*\*\*

**Architectural Preservation Review Board**  
**Resolution #01-18APRB**  
**33 West Main Street/Selma Hammer Designs**  
**Certificate of Appropriateness for Signs**

On a motion made by Charles Criss, seconded by Steven Van Dyke, the following resolution was ADOPTED 4 AYES 0 NAYS

**WHEREAS**, the Architectural Preservation Review Board made the following findings of fact:

1. A Certificate of Appropriateness application was received on November 21, 2017, by the Secretary of the Planning Board for a sign program at 33 West Main Street.
2. The signs color will be Devoe Rialto.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the application of Selma Hammer for a sign program at 33 West Main Street, received by the Planning Board November 21, 2017, BE APPROVED.

**AND, BE IT FURTHER, RESOLVED,** that the Planning Board Secretary distribute the appropriate standard conditions with the Planning Board's approval letter.

\*\*\*\*

**160 School Street/Pizza D's Victor Inc.**

**Sign Program**

Mr. Jeff Dunlop & Mr. Dan Walters stated that they are requesting a total of four signs. Two signs that are 3' round on the upper peak facing two main roads (School St. & Rawson Rd.), a 5' round vinyl sign on the front window and possibly a 12' x 2' sign that spells out Pizza D's. Ms. CHaides stated that the Code Enforcement Officer noted that the 5' round sign exceeds the 30% window coverage and needs to be brought into compliance. Ms. CHaides stated that the color rendering shows a square sign but if it is actually round it cannot be over 30% coverage of the window. Ms. CHaides asked the applicants if they would like the Planning Board to look at the 12' x 2' sign or if it is something they would like to do at a later time. Mr. Dunlop stated that it would be something they may do at a later date. Mr. Dunlop stated that none of the signs have been made yet so they can make sure that all of the signs are to code. Ms. CHaides explained that since the business is on a corner and there are two streets that they could have a sign for each street.

Mr. Kowal stated that if the 12' x 2' sign were to be considered it would need a variance. Mr. Dunlop & Mr. Walters stated that they would like the three round signs at this time and not the 12' x 2' sign.

Mr. Van Dyke asked if the business is open yet. Mr. Dunlop stated that they are trying to open by mid-February. Mr. Kowal asked if they have another location. Mr. Dunlop stated that they have had another location in Mendon for 6 years and specialize in traditional stone cooked pizza and grilled wings.

\*\*\*\*

**Resolution #04-18PB**

**160 School Street/Pizza D's Victor Inc.**

**Sign Program**

On a motion made by Peter Kowal, seconded by Steven Van Dyke, the following resolution was ADOPTED 4 AYES 0 NAYS

**WHEREAS,** the Planning Board made the following findings of fact:

1. A sign application was received on December 21, 2017, by the Secretary of the Planning Board for a sign program which consists of a two 3' round signs on the upper peak facing two main roads and a round window sign that does not exceed 30% of the window area.

2. It is the intent of the applicant to advertise Pizza D's.
3. The signs shall be as illustrated in plans received December 21, 2017.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the application of Jeff Dunlop & Danny Walters for a sign program at 160 School Street, **BE APPROVED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:**

1. That the signs require a permit from the Building Department, prior to installation.
2. That the sign receive a Certificate of Appropriateness from the Architectural Preservation Review Board (APRB) prior to installation.
3. That the window sign be brought to code so that it does not exceed 30% of the window area.

\*\*\*\*

**Architectural Preservation Review Board**  
**Resolution #02-18APRB**  
**160 School Street/Pizza D's Victor Inc.**  
**Certificate of Appropriateness for Signs**

On a motion made by Charles Criss, seconded by Peter Kowal, the following resolution was ADOPTED 4 AYES 0 NAYS

**WHEREAS**, the Architectural Preservation Review Board made the following findings of fact:

1. A Certificate of Appropriateness application was received on December 21, 2017, by the Secretary of the Planning Board for a sign program at 160 School Street.
2. The signs colors will be Muralo Goldenrod, Redrock Canyon & Black.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the application of Jeff Dunlop & Danny Walters for a sign program at 160 School Street, received by the Planning Board December 21, 2017, BE APPROVED.

**AND, BE IT FURTHER, RESOLVED**, that the Planning Board Secretary distribute the appropriate standard conditions with the Planning Board's approval letter.

\*\*\*\*

Chairperson CHaides stated that she received a letter from Code Enforcement in reference to the demolition of the building where Mobile Graphics was prior to the fire.

*Chairperson CHaides read a letter from Doug Scarson, Code Enforcement Officer regarding the demolition at 276 West Main Street.*

*I have received an application for demolition from the owners of the above parcel, the former printing company and apartments. Per Section 11-4 L I am notifying you of said demolition in order to comply with the code. The contractor plans on removing all of the unsafe structure, complying with all requirements including asbestos regulations and UPC requirements. Before I issue the permits they need to guarantee that they will remove the slap and fill in the site with stone or soil and seed. This has not been resolved at this point so I am waiting for word from the property owner as to their intentions. I have also included a site plan for your reference.*

Chairperson CHaides stated that the building is such a safety hazard and an eyesore and that Doug Scarson said the building is scheduled for removal the first week of February. Ms. CHaides stated that the Planning Board will need to see a Site Plan once it is going to be rebuilt.

### **Village Board Liaison Report**

Mr. Kelly stated that the proposal in front of the Village Board for consolidation of the Fishers and Victor Fire Districts is still an issue. Mr. Kelly stated that the Town and Village have approved it and the Fishers Fire Commission has tabled it.

Mr. Kelly stated that the Village Board has started reviewing Code updates for Zoning and noted the Planning Board discussion about A-frames and asked Meg if A-frames will be covered in the new code. Ms. CHaides stated that she met with Wes Pettee to discuss A-frames and gave a copy of the sign code to the other Planning Board members with her notes so that they can comment as well. Ms. CHaides stated that multiple A-frames per building is an issue that the Village needs to write the code to appropriately service businesses yet not have sign pollution with so many A-frames.

*Discussion amongst Board about A-frame signs*

### **ADJOURNMENT**

Meeting adjourned on a motion at 7:40 pm

---

Roseanne Turner-Adams, Planning Clerk